


**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

 Address : COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**MAILED**
**FEB 27 1979**

MAILED:

**GROUP 120**

 [ Bond Art Unit 121  
06/06/78 913,107  
Leonardo Marsili, et al ]

 Oblon, Fisher, Spivak,  
McClelland & Maier  
Crystal Square Five,  
Suite 400  
1755 S. Jefferson Davis Hwy.  
Arlington, Va. 22202

 THIS IS A COMMUNICATION FROM THE EXAMINER  
IN CHARGE OF YOUR APPLICATION.

 COMMISSIONER OF  
PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

☐ This application has been examined.

☒ Responsive to communication filed on 8 JAN 1979.

☒ This action is made final.

 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTH(S)  
— DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER.

 FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED.  
35 U.S.C. 133

**PART I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited, Form PTO-892.           | 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal patent Drawing, PTO-948. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 | 4. <input type="checkbox"/>   |

**PART II SUMMARY OF ACTION**

1. ☒ Claims 2-11 are pending in the application.  
Of the above, claims \_\_\_\_\_ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ have been cancelled.
3. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are allowed.
4. ☒ Claims 2-11 are rejected.
5. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are objected to.
6. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. ☐ The formal drawings filed on \_\_\_\_\_ are acceptable.
8. ☐ The drawing correction request filed on \_\_\_\_\_ has been ☐ approved.  
☐ disapproved.
9. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has  
☐ been received. ☐ been filed in parent application;  
☐ not been received. ☐ serial no. \_\_\_\_\_ filed on \_\_\_\_\_
10. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 Q.G. 213.
11. ☐ Other

Art Unit 121

Claims 2-11 are now in the case.

All of the claims are again rejected as unpatentable over the German Offenlegungsschrift. The disclosure and claims of this document encompass all of the claimed subject matter, at least in a generic sense. The use and method of preparation are the same as those disclosed herein. The statutory basis of the rejection is 35 USC 102(b) or, in the alternative, 35 USC 103. The terminal disclaimer submitted does not operate to remove the German Offenlegungsschrift as a reference even though it may operate to remove the Marsili et al patent. The Belgian Patnet, cited by applicants is an essential duplicate of the German Offenlegungsschrift.

All claims are rejected.

~~This~~ rejection is made FINAL.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER.


**ROBERT T. BOND**

RBond/vcm

A/C 703

557-2517

02/16/79

  
JOHN M. FORD  
EXAMINER  
GROUP ART UNIT 121